

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Paul D. Glastris to Terry Edmonds at 11:58:48. Subject: From Lockhart re convention speech. (3 pages)	07/11/2000	Personal Misfile
002. draft	President William J. Clinton Democratic Convention Speech Outline Los Angeles, CA. (2 pages)	07/14/2000	Personal Misfile
003. agenda	Convention Speech Meeting Agenda (1 page)	07/14/2000	Personal Misfile
004. draft	Convention Speech Outline. (3 pages)	07/13/2000	Personal Misfile
005. memo	Memorandum for the President through John Podesta from Terry Edmonds and Paul Glastris. Subject: Convention Speech Outline. (1 page)	07/18/2000	Personal Misfile
006. draft	President William J. Clinton Democratic Convention Speech Outline Los Angeles, CA. (2 pages)	07/19/2000	Personal Misfile
007. email	Lisa R. Strumwasser to 1369427@SkyTel, Joshua S. Gottheimer. Subject: words per minute. (1 page)	08/04/2000	Personal Misfile
008a. memo	Memorandum for the President through John Podesta from Terry Edmonds and Paul Glastris. Subject: Convention Speech Outline (1 page)	07/18/2000	Personal Misfile
008b. draft	President William J. Clinton Democratic Convention Speech Outline Los Angeles, CA (2 pages)	07/19/2000	Personal Misfile
009a. memo	Memorandum for White House Office, Office of the Vice President, and the Office of Policy Development from Steven Richetti. Subject: 2000 Democratic National Convention. (1 page)	07/18/2000	P5 1432

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Speechwriting
Josh Gottheimer
OA/Box Number: 18993

FOLDER TITLE:

Democratic Convention 2000 [3]

Adam Bergfeld
2006-0226-F
ab646

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

COPY

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
009b. memo	Memorandum for White House Office, Office of the Vice President, and the Office of Policy Development from Beth Nolan Subject: Convention-Related Political Activity. (8 pages)	07/18/2000	P5 1433
009c. memo	Memorandum for White House Office, Office of the Vice President, and the Office of Policy Development from Charles F.C. Ruff, Cheryl Mills, and Dawn M. Cirwa. Subject: Presidential Campaign-Related Political Activity. (8 pages)	01/04/1999	P5 1434
010. email	Lissa R. Strumwasser to Mara A. Silver at 01:15:06. Subject: [none] (partial) (1 page)	08/04/2000	P6/b(6)
011a. schedule	Phone No. (Partial) (1 page)	08/20/1996	P6/b(6)
011b. schedule	Phone No. (Partial) (1 page)	08/21/1996	P6/b(6)
011c. schedule	Phone No. (Partial) (1 page)	08/22/1996	P6/b(6)
011d. schedule	Phone No. (Partial) (1 page)	08/23/1996	P6/b(6)
011e. schedule	Phone No. (Partial) (1 page)	08/24/1996	P6/b(6)
011f. schedule	Phone No. (Partial) (1 page)	08/25/1996	P6/b(6)
011g. schedule	Phone No. (Partial) (1 page)	08/26/1996	P6/b(6)
011h. schedule	Phone No. (Partial) (1 page)	08/27/1996	P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Speechwriting
Josh Gottheimer
OA/Box Number: 18993

FOLDER TITLE:

Democratic Convention 2000 [3]

Adam Bergfeld
2006-0226-F
ab646

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

COPY

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 18, 2000

1432

MEMORANDUM FOR WHITE HOUSE OFFICE
OFFICE OF THE VICE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF POLICY DEVELOPMENT

FROM: STEVEN RICCHETTI 
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF TO THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: 2000 Democratic National Convention

This memorandum outlines the White House's policy with respect to the attendance of White House staff at the upcoming 2000 Democratic National Convention to be held from August 14 through August 17.

Before deciding to attend the Convention, staff should review the legal requirements for participating in political activity outlined in the attached memorandum, dated July 18, 2000, from Beth Nolan, Counsel to the President. In addition, the White House must be staffed during the week of the Convention to ensure the ongoing conduct of government business. Therefore, in order to attend the Convention, all staff must follow the procedure outlined below.

First, as discussed in the attached memorandum, unless your attendance at the Convention is authorized by the President's Chief of Staff's office or the Vice President's Chief of Staff's office as part of your job responsibilities, you must attend the Convention on your own time. This policy applies whether or not you are asked to attend the Convention by the Convention Committee, Gore 2000 or another political entity. You may take vacation time and/or up to five (5) days of leave without pay to attend the Convention.

Second, individuals who wish to attend the Convention on their own time must submit a leave request in writing for approval by their department head. A copy of the leave request form is attached. Each department head is instructed to ensure that the operation of his or her department can and will continue during the Convention. Approved leave requests should be sent to Management and Administration, attention: Michelle Salinas, Room 145 EEOB, and a copy should be sent to the Counsel's Office, attention: Mary Smith, Room 126 1/2 EEOB.

Please contact Minyon Moore, Director of Political Affairs, if you have any questions.

Attachment

COPY

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 18, 2000

1433

MEMORANDUM FOR WHITE HOUSE OFFICE
OFFICE OF THE VICE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF POLICY DEVELOPMENT

FROM: BETH NOLAN *Beth Nolan*
COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Convention-Related Political Activity

This memorandum outlines the applicable guidelines governing political activity on the part of White House Office, Office of Vice President and Office of Policy Development staff related to the 2000 Democratic Convention.¹

I. General Political Activity Rules in Brief

Certain employees of the Executive Office of the President (EOP) are permitted by the Hatch Act Reform Amendments to engage in political activity during business hours and in a federal building, provided that the costs of such activity are not paid for by the government. 5 C.F.R. §§ 734.502 and 734.503.² This rule applies to the following:

- White House Office employees;
- Office of the Vice President employees;
- Office of Policy Development employees;
- Certain other EOP employees (those who, by statute and regulations, are considered to have duties that continue outside normal agency hours and while away from their duty stations).

Most other EOP employees (political appointees and career employees) and employees of other agencies detailed to the EOP who are not Senate-confirmed may engage in political activity only outside of agency business hours and outside of federal buildings. 5 C.F.R. § 734.306. Employees paid from appropriations for the National Security Council (NSC), however, are prohibited by law from engaging in most forms of partisan political activity.³ In addition, employees of certain other agencies are subject

¹ For more detail concerning overall rules on engaging in political activity during the Presidential-campaign election cycle, please see the attached memorandum from Charles F.C. Ruff, Counsel to the President, dated January 4, 1999.

² Hatch Act regulations provide that costs associated with political activity are not considered paid for by the government as long as the U.S. Treasury is reimbursed within a reasonable period of time. 5 C.F.R. § 734.503.

³ For more detail, please see Memorandum for NSC Staff from James Baker, "Partisan Political Activity by NSC Staff," dated August 13, 1999.

COPY

to more restrictive laws and policies, which would continue to apply to those employees while they are detailed to the EOP. If you have any questions about your ability to participate in political activity and the restrictions that apply to your employing agency, I urge you to consult your agency ethics official prior to engaging in the activity.

Certain political activities are prohibited to all federal employees. Federal employees may not use official resources to engage in political activity. Federal employees may not personally solicit, accept, or receive a political contribution. (A very limited exception to this latter prohibition applies for certain federal multi-candidate political committees. Employees should consult their agency ethics officials prior to any solicitation.) In addition, federal employees may not intimidate, threaten, command or coerce any employee to engage or not to engage in political activity or use their official positions to coerce any other person to engage in political activity. Thus, for example, a political appointee attending the Convention on his or her own time may not direct staff members or subordinates to attend the Convention in support of that attendance.

II. Vacation Time and Leaves of Absence

- **With few exceptions, you must attend the Convention on your own time.**
- Unless your attendance at the Convention is authorized by the President's Chief of Staff or the Vice President's Chief of Staff as part of your job responsibilities, you must attend the Convention on your own time. This rule applies even if you are otherwise allowed to engage in political activity while you are on duty.
- All federal employees, provided they are otherwise permitted to engage in political activity, may use vacation (annual leave) or compensatory time to engage in political activity, including attending the Convention. Using vacation time or compensatory time is considered being "off-duty."
- Although the Hatch Act Reform Amendments permit federal employees to take a leave of absence without pay to engage in political activity, political appointees (Senate-confirmed, non-career SES, Schedule Cs and other Presidential appointees) may not take a leave of absence without pay to engage in campaign activity during the Presidential election cycle. However, employees may take up to five (5) days of leave without pay to participate in Convention-related activities.
- As with all leave requests, your department head must approve or disapprove your leave based upon the department's workload. Thus, your ability to take any particular type of leave for the week of the Convention is a matter of department-head discretion.

III. Convention Attendance and Acceptance of Travel Expenses

- **Appropriated funds may not be used to pay for Convention-related travel costs.**
- Convention-related travel, except travel for those employees who are designated to perform official functions, is political; therefore, appropriated funds may not be used to pay these costs.
- Employees who are permitted to engage in political activity may accept gifts of meals, lodgings, transportation and other benefits – including free attendance at events – provided they are offered

by a political organization in connection with active participation in the Convention or related political activity. Thus, a political committee (e.g., the Convention, the Democratic National Committee, Gore 2000) may pay, as appropriate, your Convention-related travel expenses. Employees whose travel is paid for by a political entity other than Gore 2000 may not work for Gore 2000 at the Convention.

- You also may pay your own travel expenses to attend the Convention. You should note, however, that federal election law limits the amount that individuals can expend for travel on behalf of a Presidential campaign. Generally, those who are travelling to work for Gore 2000 may pay for their own travel expenses up to the amount of \$1,000. If you have questions concerning this, you should contact the campaign's legal staff for more information before making an expenditure.
- You may not solicit or coerce the offering of a gift. You may not accept a gift in exchange for an official act. These prohibitions apply to acceptance of Convention-related travel expenses.

IV. Convention Positions

Under the law, federal employees who are permitted to engage in political activity may hold formal positions at the Convention. Thus, you may, for example, be a delegate, a Convention speaker, or a member of any of the standing committees. As always, a political appointee may not use his or her government position or resources for activities related to his or her Convention duties.

V. Outside Earned Income from Convention Positions

Full-time White House employees whose annual salary exceeds \$ 32,380 are prohibited from earning outside income. Thus, of full-time staff, only those whose salaries are less than \$ 32,380 may be compensated for services they render for the Convention.

VI. Official Events During the Convention

During the week of the Convention, local groups, community organizations and businesses may ask White House officials to engage in non-Convention activities in the Los Angeles area. Although some of these activities might meet the standard for an official event, out of an abundance of caution these events generally will be treated as political for the purpose of using appropriated funds. Therefore, White House staff should not fly to Los Angeles at government expense to staff or attend such events.

If you have any questions regarding these guidelines, please call Dawn Chirwa or Mary Smith in my office at 6-7903 or Lisa Brown in the Office of the Vice President at 6-9089.

Attachment

cc: Agency General Counsels
Designated Agency Ethics Officials

THE WHITE HOUSE


WASHINGTON

January 4, 1999

1434

MEMORANDUM FOR WHITE HOUSE OFFICE
OFFICE OF POLICY DEVELOPMENT AND
OFFICE OF THE VICE PRESIDENT STAFF

FROM: CHARLES F.C. RUFF 
COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

CHERYL MILLS 
DEPUTY COUNSEL TO PRESIDENT

DAWN M. CHIRWANE 
ASSOCIATE COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Presidential Campaign-Related Political Activity

This memorandum provides employees of the White House Office, the Office of Policy Development and the Office of Vice President with guidance regarding Presidential campaign-related political activity.¹ With the Vice President's formal decision to seek election to the Presidency, we wanted to review the rules governing campaign activity by federal employees.

I. Governing Principle

Under the Hatch Act Reform Amendments of 1993 (the Act), most employees² paid from appropriations for the Executive Office of the President (EOP) may engage in political activity: 1) while on duty, 2) while in a federal building, and 3) while using government vehicles, provided that *"the costs associated with that activity are not paid for by money derived from the Treasury of the United States."* 5 U.S.C. § 7324(b)(1). Activities which incur costs include:

¹ General guidance regarding permissible political activity by federal employees under the Hatch Act Reform Amendments of 1993 is provided in the White House Staff Manual, *Legal And Ethical Regulations for White House Employees*, Section D (1997).

² Executive Office of the President (EOP) staff members whose duties continue outside normal duty hours and while away from post may engage in political activity while on duty; all other EOP staff members only may engage in political activity during non-duty hours and while not in a federal building. 5 U.S.C. § 7324(b).

COPY

faxing, copying, use of computers and printers, and long-distance phone calls.³ It is important that staff members consider this principle in relation to their activities during the Vice President's election campaign; it often will help avoid a problem before it arises.⁴

II. Distinguishing Political and Official Activity

Perhaps one of the more difficult aspects of evaluating on-duty conduct is discerning the difference between official and political activity. As a general matter, activity is political if its primary purpose involves the Vice President's role as a candidate for office or the President's and Vice President's roles as leaders of the Democratic Party (e.g., appearing at party functions, fundraising, campaigning for specific candidates). 6 O.L.C. 214, 217 (1982). Activity is official if it relates to the President's policies, programs or legislative agenda, even if it concerns matters on which opinion is politically divided. *Id.* Obviously, however, there are gray areas in between these two definitions; if you have questions regarding particular activities, you should contact the Counsel's Office or the Vice President's Counsel Office for guidance.

III. Prohibitions on Certain Political Activity

While White House staff may engage in political activity, there are still certain restrictions on such conduct. A number of criminal statutes prohibit the use of federal programs, property or employment for political purposes. Violation of these statutes is punishable by imprisonment and a substantial fine. It is therefore imperative that staff observe the prohibitions outlined below.

Federal employees, including White House employees, may not:

- (1) use their official authority or influence for the purpose of interfering with or affecting the result of a nomination or an election of any candidate;
- (2) intimidate, threaten, command or coerce any federal employee to engage in or not to engage in any political activity;

³ Certain costs are not considered to be borne by the Treasury because they are costs that the government already has incurred for official purposes in the absence of political activity, e.g., office space; employee salaries. 5 C.F.R. § 734.503. But see IV, Section D.

⁴ It is important to understand that for the purposes of this memorandum, the official responsibilities that customarily have been performed by the Political Affairs Office constitute "official" and not "political" activities. Therefore, the restraints cited herein do not in general affect the activities, office maintenance, or other costs undertaken or incurred in the discharge of such responsibilities.

- (3) ever knowingly solicit, accept or receive a political contribution from any person, including a subordinate or other federal employee;⁵
- (4) run for the nomination or as a candidate for election to a partisan political office;
- (5) knowingly solicit or discourage the participation in any political activity of any person who has an application for any compensation, grant, contract, ruling, license, permit or certificate pending before the staff member's office;
- (6) promise or withhold federal benefits (jobs, grants, contracts) based on political support or nonsupport – including favoring or penalizing employees or withholding employment to induce someone to make a political contribution or otherwise participate in political activity; or,
- (7) knowingly solicit or discourage the participation in any political activity of any person who is the subject of, or a participant in, an ongoing investigation, audit, or enforcement action being carried out by the employee's office.

IV. Limitations on Campaign Activities By White House Staff

We have set forth below guidelines to ensure that political activity undertaken by White House staff is within the limits prescribed by law and White House policy. While these guidelines will cover many day-to-day situations that arise, the simplest rule to follow is the "common-sense" practice that anything obviously political that involves the use of resources should be done by the campaign, even if doing it here can be "legally" justified.

A. Campaign Meetings on Government Property

1. Small meetings involving campaign or political officials and White House staff members may be held in a White House staff member's office, the West Wing or the Old Executive Office Building, or, if it is a luncheon or breakfast meeting, in the White House Mess, provided that such meetings do not interfere with the conduct of Government business. Such gatherings must be organized by White House staff members who are permitted to engage in political activity in a federal building. Government buildings, including White House offices and meeting rooms, should not be used for meetings or events organized by a campaign or political committee.

2. Campaign fundraising activities of any kind are prohibited in or from government buildings. In addition, federal employees are prohibited from soliciting or accepting

⁵ The sole exception to this prohibition is for federal labor or employee organizations. Please consult our Office before undertaking any action implicating this exception.

campaign contributions. This means that fundraising events may not be held in the White House; also, no fundraising phone calls or mail may emanate from the White House or any other federal building.

3. Campaign-sponsored or other political activities (receptions, dinners, meetings, but not fundraisers) may be held in the Executive Residence, provided that the President, First Lady or some other family member attends the event. Similarly, campaign or other political events (other than fundraisers) also may be held at the Vice President's Residence as long as the Vice President, Mrs. Gore, or some other family member attends the event. The costs of such events at either residence must be paid by the proper campaign or political committee.

B. Campaign Contributions by Executive Branch Employees

Under 18 U.S.C. § 603, as amended, civilian Executive Branch employees are no longer prohibited from giving a political contribution to the authorized election campaign of their "employer or employing authority," which was previously interpreted as potentially including election campaigns of the President or Vice President. While White House and other Executive Branch employees may now make voluntary contributions to such campaigns, their political activity continues to be governed by the limitations imposed by the Hatch Act, as amended.

C. Receipt of Campaign Contributions at the White House

Federal law prohibits the receipt and acceptance of campaign contributions in federal buildings. Occasionally, contributions intended for a campaign committee may be addressed to the White House and delivered with other mail. Such contributions that come to the White House Office should be taken to Dan Burkhardt in the Correspondence Department in the Old Executive Office Building, Room 94; those that come to the Office of Vice President should be taken to Bill Mason in the Correspondence Department in the Old Executive Office Building, Room 263.

There should be no acknowledgment of receipt of a contribution from the White House to the contributor. If a contribution is accompanied by a letter that primarily addresses governmental issues, a response to those issues may be prepared and sent from the White House; however, there should be no reference to the contribution.

D. Use of Leave

1. Some White House staff members, as leave-earning employees, are entitled to specific amounts of annual leave. As discussed below, such leave may be used for political purposes; however, one cannot take an "advance" on annual leave to engage in political activities. Those White House staff members who are not entitled to annual leave (e.g., commissioned officers) may use a maximum of 15 days of compensatory leave (i.e., the equivalent of vacation time) for political purposes.

2. All White House staff members must perform their official duties for a minimum of 40 hours per week or 80 hours per two-week pay period in order to receive their full federal salary. If a staff member does not complete 40 hours of official duty in any week, the difference between the number of hours completed and 40 hours must be covered by annual leave, leave without pay, official holidays or made up in the second week of that pay period. The difference cannot be made up in a subsequent pay period.

3. White House staff members who complete a minimum of 40 hours of official duty during any full week (Monday-Sunday) may be absent from their official duty station for no more than one weekday (Monday-Friday) solely for the purposes of engaging in political activity without taking annual leave, compensatory leave, or leave without pay. If a staff member desires to be absent for political purposes for more than one weekday in any week, each additional weekday must be covered by annual leave or leave without pay, regardless of the number of official hours worked during that week. In other words, it is not permissible for a staff member to put in 40 hours of official duty in the first three days of the week and then take the remaining two weekdays off for campaigning without using annual leave, compensatory leave, or leave without pay.

4. Sick leave cannot be used to cover an absence from official duty for the purpose of engaging in political activity.

5. White House staff members are permitted to take leave without pay to cover absences from official duties for the purposes of engaging in political activity.

6. Staff members may use only eight hours of compensatory leave for political activity during any 7-day period unless additional leave is approved by Counsel's Office.

7. When annual leave, compensatory leave or leave without pay is used for political purposes:

(a) Staff members must submit a request for leave for political purposes in advance of the leave period to their White House department supervisor for approval.

(b) Following approval, the office supervisor should forward the request to the Counsel's Office for approval prior to the leave period.

E. USE OF GOVERNMENT VEHICLES AND MESSENGERS

Generally, government vehicles -- including White House vehicles -- may not be used for political purposes. This means that White House cars may not be used to transport staff members or materials to or from any political committee office, including the Vice President's campaign committee, or event. In addition, White House messengers may not be used to deliver

or pick up materials from the DNC or the Vice President's campaign committee. White House vehicles also may not be used to transport staff members or political materials to airports or any other locations if the purpose of the trip is political.

The only exception, excluding official use for compelling security considerations,⁶ is for travel to or from a political event in the following circumstances:

- (1) the White House staff member is the Chief of Staff or an EOP agency head; and,
- (2) the use of the vehicle will occur during the regular work week (Monday through Friday, excluding weekends and holidays); and,
- (3) the political or candidate campaign committee pays for the anticipated cost of the vehicle prior to the travel.

Because of the special requirements surrounding departures and arrivals from Andrews Air Force Base, White House vehicles may be used to transport White House staff members to that facility when they are accompanying the President, Vice President, First Lady or Mrs. Gore on a campaign trip. The campaign committee must reimburse the government for the costs of a large rental vehicle for the President or Vice President, as well as for the costs of any other vehicles that are not solely related to the security of the principal traveler (e.g., staff vans).

When the President or Vice President is participating in a campaign event in the Washington, D.C., area or another location where White House cars are available for official purposes, White House cars may be used for the Presidential motorcade, with appropriate reimbursement for all vehicles -- including the amount for a large rental vehicle for the President or Vice President -- except those vehicles essential to the security of the President or Vice President (e.g., Secret Service vehicles).

F. USE OF COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS AND COPYING MACHINES

Generally, official White House resources should not be used for campaign purposes. In certain instances where official resources are used for purposes that may be considered campaign-related, there must be prompt reimbursement for the costs incurred by the government. To ensure that White House resources are not used to support campaign activity, White House staff should use only that equipment that has not been paid for from appropriated funds for political

⁶ Compelling security considerations requiring use of a government vehicle include, for example, a threat to the life or safety of a staff member or overriding national security concerns. Specific approval must be requested from and provided by the Counsel's Office prior to the use of a government vehicle for compelling security considerations.

activity; if no such equipment is available, the campaign committee should undertake the activity in question.

1. In those limited circumstances in which government communications systems — telephone, telegraph, telecopy or radio — are used for campaign-related purposes, appropriate reimbursement or payment at the "usual or normal charge," 15 C.F.R. § 100.7(a)(1)(B), must be made by the proper political campaign committee.

2. Because of the need for liaison between limited numbers of White House staff members and various political committees, telephones may be used for local calls. However, White House telephones must not be used, even locally, for regular committee activities such as recruiting volunteers or fundraising.

3. Government credit cards must not be used for campaign-related or other political calls, whether made from inside or outside the White House.

4. Government operators should not be used by staff members to place campaign-related or other political long distance calls.

5. Campaign-related long distance telephone calls made from the White House may be made only if charged to a credit card issued by the proper campaign or political committee (or on telephones installed and maintained by such committees for exclusive use in dealing with campaign or political matters).

6. The incoming WATS System (800 # or 888#) should not be used to call into the White House on campaign or political matters.

7. White House Communications Agency (WHCA) facilities provided outside the White House in connection with travel may continue to be used during mixed and wholly political trips. The facilities must be used exclusively for communications relating to trip planning and arrangements and not for direct political purposes such as campaign fundraising and crowd-building.

8. Government copying machines also should not be used to reproduce materials for transmittal to a campaign or political committee.

G. USE OF PHOTOGRAPHS

1. White House photographers may continue to photograph all Presidential, First Lady, Vice Presidential and Mrs. Gore activities for the purpose of creating an archival record of this Administration. However, as a general rule, photographs taken by White House photographers at political events should not be used for distribution to individuals attending such events or for any other political or campaign purpose.

2. Photographs taken at events in the Executive Residence or the Vice President's residence (other than politically-sponsored events), at West Wing and East Wing meetings, and at non-political events outside the White House may be distributed consistent with regular practices.

3. A campaign or political committee will be expected to provide a photographer at all campaign and political events for which it desires to distribute photographs to the participants. White House photographers will not photograph receiving lines or greetings at campaign or political events, except to the extent necessary for archival purposes.

4. A campaign or political committee may purchase, for its use, photographs taken by White House photographers in those limited circumstances where those photographs provide the only source for a particular picture. All photograph purchase requests from the campaign or political committee must be directed to the Director of the White House Photo Office. ~~A record of all campaign photo requests will be maintained by the Director of the Photo Office, who will be responsible for billing the campaign or political committee for all photo orders on a monthly basis at the normal rate and according to the procedures established by the Government for the purchase of such pictures.~~

H. CORRESPONDENCE

1. Campaign and political correspondence should not be produced at the White House using White House resources, nor can White House stationery, stamps or related supplies be used in the preparation of such correspondence at another location.

2. As stated earlier, federal law prohibits the receipt of contributions in Federal buildings. Occasionally, contributions intended for a campaign committee may be addressed to the White House and delivered with other mail. Such contributions should be taken to Dan Burkhardt, OEOB Room 94 for the White House Office; for the Office of Vice President they should be taken to Bill Mason, OEOB Room 263. There should be no acknowledgment of receipt of a contribution from the White House to the contributor. If the contribution is accompanied by a letter that deals primarily with governmental issues, a response dealing with those issues may be prepared and sent from the White House; however, there must be no reference to the contribution.

VI. CONCLUSION

These guidelines are designed to address many of the issues that you may confront during the Vice President's election campaign. Staff members are encouraged to contact our Office -- or the Vice President's Counsel's Office for OVP staff -- for guidance if you have any questions about appropriate activity by White House employees.

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Robert A. Bradtke to Miles M. Lackey at 5:50:13. Subject: RE: SRB profile in "The Hill." (partial) (1 page)	01/26/2000	P6/b(6)
002. email	Robert A. Bradtke to Miles M. Lackey at 5:50:39. Subject: RE: SRB profile in "The Hill." (partial) (1 page)	01/26/2000	P6/b(6)
003. email	Miles M. Lackey to Robert A. Bradtke at 6:21:50. Subject: RE: SRB profile in "The Hill." (partial) (1 page)	01/26/2000	P6/b(6)
004. email	Miles M. Lackey to Robert A. Bradtke at 06:34:37. Subject: RE: SRB profile in "The Hill." (partial) (1 page)	01/26/2000	P6/b(6)
005. email	Mara E. Rudman to Miles Lackey and David Leavy at 6:43:27. Subject: RE: SRB profile in "The Hill." (partial) (2 pages)	01/26/2000	P6/b(6)
006. email	James E. Baker to Caroline Krass and Mary B. DeRosa at 6:48:46. Subject: RE: Mary, As I mentioned, I have been asked by a friend. (2 pages)	02/09/2000	P5 1435
007. email	Mary DeRosa to James E. Baker and Caroline Krass at 06:54:30. Subject: RE: Mary, As I mentioned, I have been asked by a friend. (2 pages)	02/09/2000	P5 1436
008. email	Yee, Hoyt B. to Quinn, May E. at 1:13:41 PM. Subject: Memorandum of Conversation. (5 pages)	03/16/2000	P1/b(1)
009. email	Mathew N. Gobush to Richard M. Sanders, Michael H. Orfini, and Tyler S. Beardsley at 11:26:59. Subject: Leon, Elian and Eliane (2 pages)	03/31/2000	P5 1437
010. email	Mathew N. Gobush to Thomas M. Rosshirt at 11:27:24. Subject: Leon, Elian and Eliane. (2 pages)	03/21/2000	P5 1438

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
Exchange-Record (Sept 97-Jan 01) ((Gore and Campaign))
OA/Box Number: 620000

FOLDER TITLE:

[01/26/2000 - 05/31/2000]

Adam Bergfeld
2006-0226-F
ab633

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

- C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.
- PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).
- RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

COPY

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
011. email	Richard M. Sanders to Mathew N. Gobush, Michael H. Orfini, and Tyler S. Beardsley at 11:31:59. Subject: Leon, Elian and Eliane. (2 pages)	03/31/2000	P5 1439
012. email	Mathew N. Gobush to Michael H. Orfini at 4:12:55. Subject: U'wa Guidance. (4 pages)	04/19/2000	P5
013. email	Ralph H. Sigler to Robin S. Rickard at 10:55:11 AM. Subject: Blair-Gore notes. (7 pages)	04/22/2000	P1/b(1)
014. email	Robin S. Rickard to Leslie K. Davidson, Steedman Hinkley, and Todd H. Dennett at 11:18:22 AM. Subject: VP-Blair notes, 22 April 2000. (14 pages)	04/22/2000	P1/b(1)
015. email	Steedman Hinkley to Andrew S. Weiss at 9:31:13 AM. Subject: FW: VP-Blair notes, 22 April 2000. (14 pages)	04/24/2000	P1/b(1)
016. email	Leslie K. Davidson to Anthony J. Blinken, Richard B. Norland, and Hoyt B. Yee at 10:42:11. Subject: FW: VP-Blair notes, 22 April 2000. (14 pages)	04/24/2000	P1/b(1)
017. email	Natalie S. Wosniak to Brook D. Anderson, Katherine A. Brown, et al. at 5:53:20. Subject: FW: John Lancaster, Washington Post. (2 pages)	05/31/2000	P5 1440
018. email	Philip J. Crowley to Natalie S. Wosniak, Brooke D. Anderson, et al. at 7:59:41. Subject: RE: John Lancaster, Washington Post. (3 pages)	05/31/2000	P5 1441

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
Exchange-Record (Sept 97-Jan 01) ([Gore and Campaign])
OA/Box Number: 620000

FOLDER TITLE:

[01/26/2000 - 05/31/2000]

Adam Bergfeld
2006-0226-F
ab633

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

COPY

Exchange Mail

1435

DATE-TIME 02/09/2000 6:48:46 PM
FROM Baker, James E. (LEGAL)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: Mary, As I mentioned, I have been asked by a friend [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Krass, Caroline D. (LEGAL)
DeRosa, Mary B. (LEGAL)

CARBON_COPY

TEXT_BODY

Let's discuss tomorrow. Visible or not, of course, is applicable to the APNSA policy.

-----Original Message-----

From: Krass,
Caroline D. (LEGAL)
Sent: Wednesday, February 09, 2000 6:30 PM
To: DeRosa,
Mary B. (LEGAL); Baker, James E. (LEGAL)
Subject: RE: Mary, As
I mentioned, I have been asked by a friend [UNCLASSIFIED]

Doesn't
seem visible.

-----Original Message-----

From: DeRosa, Mary B.
(LEGAL)
Sent: Wednesday, February 09, 2000 6:31 PM
To: Baker,
James E. (LEGAL); Krass, Caroline D. (LEGAL)
Subject: FW: Mary,
As I mentioned, I have been asked by a friend [UNCLASSIFIED]

I
think this is ok. Does anyone disagree?

-----Original Message-----

From: Brzezinski,
Mark F. (RUE)
Sent: Wednesday, February 09, 2000 12:12 PM
To: DeRosa,
Mary B. (LEGAL)
Cc: @LEGAL - Legal Advisor

COPY

Subject: Mary, As I
mentioned, I have been asked by a friend [UNCLASSIFIED]

to introduce
her daughter to people I know at the Gore campaign (for example,
Jeff Connaughton) so that the daughter can join the campaign as a
volunteer. I do not want to call Jeff without checking with you
whether I may do this. I would call Jeff on my own time (after work)
on my home phone. May I do this?

Mark

1435

COPY

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 02/09/2000 6:54:30 PM
FROM DeRosa, Mary B. (LEGAL)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: Mary, As I mentioned, I have been asked by a friend [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Baker, James E. (LEGAL)
Krass, Caroline D. (LEGAL)

CARBON_COPY

TEXT_BODY

I don't see how this could be precluded by the Hatch Act or any NSC policy.

-----Original Message-----

From: Baker, James E. (LEGAL)

Sent: Wednesday, February 09, 2000 6:49 PM

To: Krass, Caroline

D. (LEGAL); DeRosa, Mary B. (LEGAL)

Subject: RE: Mary, As I mentioned,

I have been asked by a friend [UNCLASSIFIED]

Let's discuss tomorrow.

Visible or not, of course, is applicable to the APNSA policy.

-----Original

Message-----

From: Krass, Caroline D. (LEGAL)

Sent: Wednesday,

February 09, 2000 6:30 PM

To: DeRosa, Mary B. (LEGAL); Baker, James

E. (LEGAL)

Subject: RE: Mary, As I mentioned, I have been asked

by a friend [UNCLASSIFIED]

Doesn't seem visible.

-----Original

Message-----

From: DeRosa, Mary B. (LEGAL)

Sent: Wednesday, February

09, 2000 6:31 PM

To: Baker, James E. (LEGAL); Krass, Caroline D.

(LEGAL)

COPY

Subject: FW: Mary, As I mentioned, I have been asked by
a friend [UNCLASSIFIED]

I think this is ok. Does anyone disagree?

1436

-----Original

Message-----

From: Brzezinski, Mark F. (RUE)

Sent: Wednesday,

February 09, 2000 12:12 PM

To: DeRosa, Mary B. (LEGAL)

Cc: @LEGAL

- Legal Advisor

Subject: Mary, As I mentioned, I have been asked
by a friend [UNCLASSIFIED]

to introduce her daughter to people

I know at the Gore campaign (for example, Jeff Connaughton) so that
the daughter can join the campaign as a volunteer. I do not want
to call Jeff without checking with you whether I may do this. I
would call Jeff on my own time (after work) on my home phone. May
I do this?

Mark

COPY

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 03/31/2000 11:26:59 AM
FROM Gobush, Matthew N. (PRESS)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT Leon, Elian and Eliane [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Saunders, Richard M. (VP)
Orfini, Michael H. (VP)
Beardsley, Tyler S. (VP)

1437

CARBON_COPY

TEXT_BODY

(How's that for a tongue twister?!)

A concern: Leon is sitting down with Elaine Sciolino of the New York Times again on Monday. In addition to writing a major profile on Leon, Elaine is covering the Elian Gonzalez story for the Times. In fact, during yesterday's session with Leon, she pressed him on this issue (copied below is the transcript of that exchange). I have no doubt that she will quiz Leon again on this on Monday, in light of yesterday's announcement. My bet is that she will use this incident as a hook for the profile as a way of illustrating Leon's roles as both national security and campaign advisor. I fear her thesis is that the VP trusts Leon's policy advice, but not his political advice. Further, she may argue that a National Security Advisor to the President cannot be above politics, and therefore Leon is not the best fit for the job in a Gore Administration.

To prepare Leon for Monday, we need to develop answers to the following questions (answers which only he may have)

* Were you consulted on the decision to part with the President and support legislation that would grant Elian and his family permanent resident status last Thursday? How? When? By whom?

* Did you advise the Vice President on the political ramifications of the decision? If so, what was your advice? If not, why not?

We should also try to reconstruct a "tic-toc" chronology of how this decision was made. I expect process stories in the days ahead. We should have our story straight.

COPY

Thanks.

1437

COPY

http://classified/email/data_source/exchange/record/cd050/mar2000/3905ff71.html

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 03/31/2000 11:27:24 AM
FROM Gobush, Matthew N. (PRESS)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT FW: Leon, Elian and Eliane [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Rosshirt, Thomas M. (SPCHW)

CARBON_COPY

TEXT_BODY

fyi

-----Original Message-----

From: Gobush, Matthew N. (PRESS)

Sent: Friday, March 31, 2000 11:27 AM

To: Saunders, Richard M.

(VP); Orfini, Michael H. (VP); Beardsley, Tyler S. (VP)

Subject: Leon,

Elian and Eliane [UNCLASSIFIED]

(How's that for a tongue twister?!)

A

concern: Leon is sitting down with Elaine Sciolino of the New York Times again on Monday. In addition to writing a major profile on Leon, Elaine is covering the Elian Gonzalez story for the Times. In fact, during yesterday's session with Leon, she pressed him on this issue (copied below is the transcript of that exchange). I have no doubt that she will quiz Leon again on this on Monday, in light of yesterday's announcement. My bet is that she will use this incident as a hook for the profile as a way of illustrating Leon's roles as both national security and campaign advisor. I fear her thesis is that the VP trusts Leon's policy advice, but not his political advice. Further, she may argue that a National Security Advisor to the President cannot be above politics, and therefore Leon is not the best fit for the job in a Gore Administration.

To prepare Leon for Monday,
we need to develop answers to the following questions (answers which only he may have) -

* Were you consulted on the decision to part
with the President and support legislation that would grant Elian
and his family permanent resident status last Thursday? How? When?

COPY

By whom?

* Did you advise the Vice President on the political ramifications of the decision? If so, what was your advice? If not, why not?

We should also try to reconstruct a "tic-toc" chronology of how this decision was made. I expect process stories in the days ahead. We should have our story straight.

Thanks.

1438

COPY

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 03/31/2000 11:31:59 AM
FROM Saunders, Richard M. (VP)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: Leon, Elian and Eliane [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Gobush, Matthew N. (PRESS)
Orfini, Michael H. (VP)
Beardsley, Tyler S. (VP)

1439

CARBON_COPY

TEXT_BODY call me about this

-----Original Message-----

From: Gobush, Matthew
N. (PRESS)

Sent: Friday, March 31, 2000 11:27 AM

To: Saunders,

Richard M. (VP); Orfini, Michael H. (VP); Beardsley, Tyler S.
(VP)

Subject: Leon, Elian and Eliane [UNCLASSIFIED]

(How's that
for a tongue twister?!)

A concern: Leon is sitting down with Elaine Sciolino of the New York Times again on Monday. In addition to writing a major profile on Leon, Elaine is covering the Elian Gonzalez story for the Times. In fact, during yesterday's session with Leon, she pressed him on this issue (copied below is the transcript of that exchange). I have no doubt that she will quiz Leon again on this on Monday, in light of yesterday's announcement. My bet is that she will use this incident as a hook for the profile as a way of illustrating Leon's roles as both national security and campaign advisor. I fear her thesis is that the VP trusts Leon's policy advice, but not his political advice. Further, she may argue that a National Security Advisor to the President cannot be above politics, and therefore Leon is not the best fit for the job in a Gore Administration.

To
prepare Leon for Monday, we need to develop answers to the following questions (answers which only he may have) -

* Were you consulted

COPY

1439

COPY

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 05/31/2000 5:53:20 PM
FROM Wozniak, Natalie S. (PRESS)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT FW: John Lancaster, Washington Post [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Anderson, Brooke D. (NSCCOMM)
Brown, Katherine A. (PRESS/INTERN)
Crowley, Philip J. (PRESS)
Gobush, Matthew N. (VP)
Hammer, Michael A. (PRESS)
Hoelzer, Jennifer I. (PRESS/INTERN)
Stockwell, David B. (PRESS)
Wozniak, Natalie S. (PRESS)

1440

CARBON_COPY

TEXT_BODY

PJ/Mike --

Ken Pollack just called after discussing this with Bruce Riedel and they have decided they should not return John Lancaster's call. Bush Administration staffer Zoellick made these comments the other day at a debate between Candidate Bush staff and Candidate Gore staff. Ken and Bruce are not going there and feel this is ultimately a campaign issue and NSC should not get involved.

Over to you
fun-loving boys!

Thanks,
Natalie

-----Original Message-----

From: Wozniak,
Natalie S. (PRESS)
Sent: Wednesday, May 31, 2000 5:31 PM
To: Pollack,
Kenneth M. (NESA)
Cc: @NESASIA - NE/South Asia; @PRESS - Public
Affairs
Subject: FW: John Lancaster, Washington Post [UNCLASSIFIED]

Ken
-

COPY

John would like your analysis of Bob Zoellick's comments made the other day about the opposition, i.e., using the opposition to overthrow Saddam Hussein. He says this idea seems to be gaining momentum and wants an Administration view.

Hope this helps.

Thanks,
Natalie

-----Original

Message-----

From: Wozniak, Natalie S. (PRESS)

Sent: Wednesday,

May 31, 2000 5:01 PM

To: Pollack, Kenneth M. (NESA)

Cc: @NESASIA

- NE/South Asia; @PRESS - Public Affairs

Subject: John Lancaster,

Washington Post [UNCLASSIFIED]

Ken --

John Lancaster, Washington

Post (334 - 7993 or cell 301 - 717 - 1746), called asking to speak to you about Iraq.

Dave Stockwell is en route airport for Berlin

and will not have a chance to call John and explore the specifics.

John

is a good guy and Dave would like to help him. Would you be willing to give him a call?

Thanks,
Natalie
x69271

COPY

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 05/31/2000 7:59:41 PM
FROM Crowley, Philip J. (PRESS)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: John Lancaster, Washington Post [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Wozniak, Natalie S. (PRESS)
Anderson, Brooke D. (NSCCOMM)
Brown, Katherine A. (PRESS/INTERN)
Crowley, Philip J. (PRESS)
Gobush, Matthew N. (VP)
Hammer, Michael A. (PRESS)
Hoelzer, Jennifer I. (PRESS/INTERN)
Stockwell, David B. (PRESS)
Wozniak, Natalie S. (PRESS)

1441

CARBON_COPY

TEXT_BODY

Right answer.

PJ

-----Original Message-----

From: Wozniak,
Natalie S. (PRESS)
Sent: Wednesday, May 31, 2000 5:53 PM
To: @PRESS
- Public Affairs
Subject: FW: John Lancaster, Washington Post [UNCLASSIFIED]

PJ/Mike

--

Ken Pollack just called after discussing this with Bruce Riedel and they have decided they should not return John Lancaster's call. Bush Administration staffer Zoellick made these comments the other day at a debate between Candidate Bush staff and Candidate Gore staff. Ken and Bruce are not going there and feel this is ultimately a campaign issue and NSC should not get involved.

Over to you fun-loving
boys!

Thanks,
Natalie

COPY

-----Original Message-----

From: Wozniak,
Natalie S. (PRESS)
Sent: Wednesday, May 31, 2000 5:31 PM
To: Pollack,
Kenneth M. (NESA)
Cc: @NESASIA - NE/South Asia; @PRESS - Public
Affairs
Subject: FW: John Lancaster, Washington Post [UNCLASSIFIED]

1441

Ken

John would like your analysis of Bob Zoellick's comments made the other day about the opposition, i.e., using the opposition to overthrow Saddam Hussein. He says this idea seems to be gaining momentum and wants an Administration view.

Hope this helps.

Thanks,
Natalie

-----Original
Message-----

From: Wozniak, Natalie S. (PRESS)
Sent: Wednesday,
May 31, 2000 5:01 PM
To: Pollack, Kenneth M. (NESA)
Cc: @NESASIA
- NE/South Asia; @PRESS - Public Affairs
Subject: John Lancaster,
Washington Post [UNCLASSIFIED]

Ken --

John Lancaster, Washington
Post (334 - 7993 or cell 301 - 717 - 1746), called asking to speak
to you about Iraq.

Dave Stockwell is en route airport for Berlin
and will not have a chance to call John and explore the specifics.

John
is a good guy and Dave would like to help him. Would you be willing
to give him a call?

Thanks,

COPY

Natalie
x69271

1441

COPY

http://classified/email/data_source/exchange/record/cd051/may2000/395514&d.html

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Amy L. Swarm to bmpete3@nsa.ic.gov at 2:02:26. Subject: Hey, Baby. (2 pages)	03/20/2000	P6/b(6)
002. email	Christopher Bolan to Richard M. Saunders at 1:27:43. Subject: Gore-Mubarak. (19 pages)	03/23/2000	P1/b(1)
003. email	Richard M. Saunders to Michael W. Roberts at 9:42:40 AM. Subject: Here's Breakfast. (6 pages)	05/21/2000	P1/b(1)
004. email	Christopher J. Bolan to Todd H. Dennett and Tyler S. Beardsley at 10:06:11. Subject: Leon memo to Charles. (2 pages)	05/25/2000	P5 1442
005. email	Philip J. Crowley to Steven P. Andreasen, Richard R. Beardsworth, et.al. at 10:54:56. Subject: NMD Questions for SRB NYT Q&A. (2 pages)	08/02/2000	P5 1443
006. email	Matthew N. Gobush to Philip J. Crowley at 11:09:53. Subject: RE: NMD Questions for SRB NYT Q&A (2 pages)	08/02/2000	P5 1444
007. email	Steven P. Andreasen to Richard A. Clarke at 1:24:21. Subject: 45-37... in West Virginia. (1 page)	08/05/2000	P6/b(6)
008. email	Tomasz P. Malinowski to Mark C. Medish at 9:17:20. Subject: RE: POTUS Departure Statement - Urgent Request for Guidance. (2 pages)	08/23/2000	P5 1445
009. email	Mark C. Medish to Tomasz P. Malinowski at 09:34:52. Subject: RE: POTUS Departure Statement - Urgent Request for Guidance. (3 pages)	08/23/2000	P5 1446
010. email	Justin H. Sibera to Philip J. Crowley at 9:46:52. Subject: edits. (1 page)	08/23/2000	P5 1447

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
Exchange-Non-Record (Mar 97-Jan 01) ((Gore and Campaign))
OA/Box Number: 630000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/15/2000 - 09/25/2000]

Adam Bergfeld

2006-0226-F

ab635

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

COPY

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
011. email	Howard D. Pittman to Mark J. Tavarides at 11:31:22. Subject: RE: Public Information Campaign. (1 page)	09/20/2000	P6/b(6)
012. email	Mark J. Tavarides to Howard D. Pittman at 11:34:04. Subject: RE: Public Information Campaign. (2 pages)	09/20/2000	P6/b(6)
013. email	Christopher J. Bolan to Theodore G. Osiasat 9:10:04. Subject: [Saudi Press Comments] (2 pages)	09/25/2000	P1/b(1)
014. email	Justin H. Siberell to Kenneth M. Pollack, Donald A. Camp, et.al. at 12:52:17. Subject: FW: Media Update. (3 pages)	09/25/2000	P5 1448

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
Exchange-Non-Record (Mar 97-Jan 01) ((Gore and Campaign))
O/A/Box Number: 630000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/15/2000 - 09/25/2000]

Adam Bergfeld
2006-0226-F
ab635

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

COPY

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 05/25/2000 10:06:11 AM
FROM Bolan, Christopher J. (VP)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT Leon memo to Charles [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Saunders, Richard M. (VP)
CARBON_COPY Dennett, Todd H. (VP)
Beardsley, Tyler S. (VP)

1442

TEXT_BODY

Leon asked that this be passed to Charles and to Mark/Bruce via Tyler.

TRANSLATED_ATTACHMENT

fuerth memo_25may00.doc

May 25, 2000

MEMORANDUM FOR CHARLES BURSON

FROM: LEON FUERTH

SUBJECT: APPROACHES FROM FOREIGN GOVERNMENTS

I have concerns that in this season there may be efforts to approach persons in the campaign by parties purporting to be representing foreign governments with which we do not have good relations. Foreign representatives will inevitably use such contacts to assess whether a Gore Administration would be less hostile to their purposes and interests.

COPY

I want all key personnel in the campaign to be alerted to this potentiality and to be instructed to report any such approaches immediately to me. For illustrative purposes, these approaches might include alleged representatives from Iraq, Iran, Libya, North Korea, Sudan, and Cuba.

While I don't have any specific grounds for this warning, my experience tells me that this advise is timely and should be headed. Agents of these foreign governments will interpret any contact as indicative of the future inclinations of a Gore Administration - an impression that would be injurious to America's national interests both now and into the future.

COPY

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 08/02/2000 10:54:56 AM
FROM Crowley, Philip J. (PRESS)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT NMD Questions for SRB NYT Q&A [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Andreasen, Steven P. (DEFENSE)
Beardsworth, Richard R. (Randy) (DEFENSE)
Binnendijk, Johannes A. (Hans) (DEFENSE)
Bolton, Edward L. Jr. (DEFENSE)
Cullom, Philip H. (DEFENSE)
Hill, Roseanne M. (DEFENSE)
Metzinger, Erin M. (DEFENSE)
Mitchell, Rebecca (Julie) J. (DEFENSE)
Pimentel, Betsy J. (DEFENSE)
Witkowski, Anne A. (DEFENSE)

1443

CARBON_COPY Anderson, Brooke D. (NSCCOMM)
Brown, Katherine A. (PRESS/INTERN)
Crowley, Philip J. (PRESS)
Gobush, Matthew N. (VP)
Hammer, Michael A. (INTERAM)
Hoelzer, Jennifer I. (PRESS/INTERN)
Hurwitz, Marc I. (ADMIN)
Pisar, Leah F. (NSCCOMM)
Stockwell, David B. (PRESS)
Williams, Mary C. (ADMIN)
Wozniak, Natalie S. (PRESS)

TEXT_BODY

Here's what I think we need:

How soon will the President make his decision?

How much of a campaign issue will this be? The Republicans have a different vision, something closer to Star Wars. Is this realistic? Didn't you push to make this decision now in order to protect Gore?

Why hasn't the White House substantively answered Ted Postal's charges that this is all a fraud and that the system can't discriminate decoys? Will you let a truly independent group -- totally free from the Pentagon -- look at this?

COPY

1443

Looking at your criteria, what effect does the North Korea's apparent willingness to sell its missile program for a billion a year have on your threat analysis? Isn't it going to be hard to say that fielding NMD won't have a negative impact on national security, given the opposition of Russia, China and the allies?

PJ

COPY

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 08/02/2000 11:09:53 AM
FROM Gobush, Matthew N. (VP)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: NMD Questions for SRB NYT Q&A [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Crowley, Philip J. (PRESS)

1444

CARBON_COPY

TEXT_BODY

PJ - This is a great exercise for Leon in preping for Bradley Graham tomorrow...

-----Original Message-----

From: Crowley, Philip J. (PRESS)

Sent: Wednesday, August 02, 2000 10:55 AM

To: @DEFENSE

- Defense Policy

Cc: @PRESS - Public Affairs

Subject: NMD Questions for SRB NYT Q&A [UNCLASSIFIED]

Here's what I think we need:

How soon will the President make his decision?

How much of a campaign issue will this be? The Republicans have a different vision, something closer to Star Wars. Is this realistic? Didn't you push to make this decision now in order to protect Gore?

Why hasn't the White House substantively answered Ted Postal's charges that this is all a fraud and that the system can't discriminate decoys? Will you let a truly independent group -- totally free from the Pentagon -- look at this?

Now that the test has failed and the North Koreans look less foreboding than before, why not slow this process down until you are confident you have an approach that will work? Even Cohen thinks this will slip a year or two. Why not just punt to the next Administration?

COPY

Looking at your criteria, what effect does the North Korea's apparent willingness to sell its missile program for a billion a year have on your threat analysis? Isn't it going to be hard to say that fielding NMD won't have a negative impact on national security, given the opposition of Russia, China and the allies?

PJ

1444

COPY

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 08/23/2000 9:17:20 AM
FROM Malinowski, Tomasz P. (SPCHW)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: POTUS Departure Statement - Urgent Request for Guidance
[UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Medish, Mark C. (RUE)

CARBON_COPY

TEXT_BODY

Do we really have to avoid answering that? Can't we say that in that in the bad old days, this kind of thing happened all the time and no one ever knew about it. Whatever you think about the way this tragedy was handled, the fact is, everything is out in the open, and the government is being held accountable. A sign of how far Russia still has to go -- yes -- but also a sign of how far it has come.

-----Original Message-----

From: Medish, Mark C. (RUE)

Sent: Wednesday, August 23, 2000 9:07 AM

To: Crowley, Philip J.

(PRESS); @INTERAM - Inter-American; @TRANSNATIONAL - Transnational

Threats; @DEFENSE - Defense Policy; @RUSSIA - Russia/Ukraine

Cc: @PRESS

- Public Affairs; @NSCComm; @RUDMAN; @KERRICK; @UP - APNSA Special

Assistants

Subject: RE: POTUS Departure Statement - Urgent Request for Guidance [UNCLASSIFIED]

About the Kursk

Back to the bad old days?

* I and all Americans were deeply saddened by the tragic loss of life aboard the Kursk. Our thoughts and prayers are with the Russian people and with the families of the brave sailors who perished.

Will you help salvage effort?

COPY

* Have received no
such requests. Open to considering ways to be helpful.

-----Original

Message-----

From: Crowley, Philip J. (PRESS)

Sent: Wednesday,

August 23, 2000 8:49 AM

To: @INTERAM - Inter-American; @TRANSNATIONAL

- Transnational Threats; @DEFENSE - Defense Policy; @RUSSIA -

Russia/Ukraine

Cc: @PRESS

- Public Affairs; @NSCComm; @RUDMAN; @KERRICK; @UP - APNSA

Special

Assistants

Subject: POTUS Departure Statement - Urgent Request for

Guidance [UNCLASSIFIED]

POTUS will do a departure statement this morning on California energy. May be asked questions. SRB will participate in pre-brief at 10:15 am. Need succinct answers to the following:

1. Yesterday you waived several human rights requirements in releasing aid to Colombia. Human rights groups are very critical that you should not have done this until Colombia has been fully certified. Why couldn't you wait and use the aid to force a better human rights performance by Colombia?
2. Are you concerned about the way in which President Putin and the Russian government handled last week's submarine tragedy? The deceptions and insinuations that this might be the result of a collision with a U.S. submarine appears to be yet another indication that Russia is reverting back to a Cold War psychology.
3. Within the campaign, there has been a debate this week as to whether the military is in decline and overstretched. Bush says it is. Not surprisingly, Gore says it's not. Can you confirm that you are planning to increase defense spending yet again, by \$16B next year? Did the election influence your thinking about this? And, while we have you, when are you planning to make your decision on NMD?

PJ

COPY

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 08/23/2000 9:34:52 AM
FROM Medish, Mark C. (RUE)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: POTUS Departure Statement - Urgent Request for Guidance [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Malinowski, Tomasz P. (SPCHW)

CARBON_COPY

TEXT_BODY

I have a different view -- that using every event in a foreign country's life to lecture about democracy is unnecessary. Isn't it enough that the Russians are saying it themselves?

-----Original Message-----

From: Malinowski,
Tomasz P. (SPCHW)
Sent: Wednesday, August 23, 2000 9:17 AM
To: Medish,
Mark C. (RUE)
Subject: RE: POTUS Departure Statement - Urgent Request
for Guidance [UNCLASSIFIED]

Do we really have to avoid answering that? Can't we say that in that in the bad old days, this kind of thing happened all the time and no one ever knew about it. Whatever you think about the way this tragedy was handled, the fact is, everything is out in the open, and the government is being held accountable. A sign of how far Russia still has to go -- yes -- but also a sign of how far it has come.

-----Original Message-----

From: Medish,
Mark C. (RUE)
Sent: Wednesday, August 23, 2000 9:07 AM
To: Crowley,
Philip J. (PRESS); @INTERAM - Inter-American; @TRANSNATIONAL -
Transnational
Threats; @DEFENSE - Defense Policy; @RUSSIA - Russia/Ukraine
Cc: @PRESS
- Public Affairs; @NSCComm; @RUDMAN; @KERRICK; @UP - APNSA
Special
Assistants
Subject: RE: POTUS Departure Statement - Urgent Request

COPY

for Guidance [UNCLASSIFIED]

About the Kursk

Back to the bad
old days?

* I and all Americans were deeply saddened by the tragic loss of life aboard the Kursk. Our thoughts and prayers are with the Russian people and with the families of the brave sailors who perished.

Will you help salvage effort?

* Have received no such requests. Open to considering ways to be helpful.

-----Original

Message-----

From: Crowley, Philip J. (PRESS)

Sent: Wednesday,
August 23, 2000 8:49 AM

To: @INTERAM - Inter-American; @TRANSNATIONAL
- Transnational Threats; @DEFENSE - Defense Policy; @RUSSIA -
Russia/Ukraine

Cc: @PRESS

- Public Affairs; @NSCComm; @RUDMAN; @KERRICK; @UP - APNSA
Special

Assistants

Subject: POTUS Departure Statement - Urgent Request for
Guidance [UNCLASSIFIED]

POTUS will do a departure statement this morning on California energy. May be asked questions. SRB will participate in pre-brief at 10:15 am. Need succinct answers to the following:

1. Yesterday you waived several human rights requirements in releasing aid to Colombia. Human rights groups are very critical that you should not have done this until Colombia has been fully certified. Why couldn't you wait and use the aid to force a better human rights performance by Colombia?

2. Are you concerned about the way in which President Putin and the Russian government handled last week's submarine tragedy? The deceptions and insinuations that this might be the result of a collision with a U.S. submarine appears to be yet another indication that Russia is reverting back to a Cold

COPY

War psychology.

3. Within the campaign, there has been a debate this week as to whether the military is in decline and overstretched. Bush says it is. Not surprisingly, Gore says it's not. Can you confirm that you are planning to increase defense spending yet again, by \$16B next year? Did the election influence your thinking about this? And, while we have you, when are you planning to make your decision on NMD?

PJ

1446

COPY

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 08/23/2000 9:46:52 AM
FROM Siberell, Justin H. (NSA)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT edits [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Crowley, Philip J. (PRESS)

1447

CARBON_COPY

TEXT_BODY PJ: SRB was not happy with this particular answer. He's talking with Kerrick now.

Within the campaign, there has been a debate this week as to whether the military is in decline and overstretched. Bush says it is. Not surprisingly, Gore says it's not. Are you satisfied with the state of military readiness? Are you concerned that the military is stretched too thin?

* The American military is the best trained, best equipped, most effective fighting force in the world. Our men and women have shown that time and again in Bosnia, in Kosovo, in Haiti and Iraq. Their strength, spirit, courage and commitment have never been better.

* I've visited them all around the world. Anyone who describes them as a force "in decline" doesn't know them as I do.

COPY

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 09/25/2000 12:52:17 PM
FROM Siberell, Justin H. (NSA)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT FW: Media Update [UNCLASSIFIED]

1448

TO Pollack, Kenneth M. (NESA)
Camp, Donald A. (NESA)
Cooper, Kathleen H. (NESA)
Jones, Felicia A. (NESA)
Malley, Robert (NESA)
Pollack, Kenneth M. (NESA)
Riedel, Bruce O. (NESA)
Ward, Steven R. (NESA)

CARBON_COPY Anderson, Brooke D. (NSCCOMM)
Crowley, Philip J. (PRESS)
Cruise, Daniel L. (PRESS)
Hammer, Michael A. (INTERAM)
Pisar, Leah F. (NSCCOMM)
Stockwell, David B. (PRESS)
Wozniak, Natalie S. (PRESS)
Anderson, Brooke D. (NSCCOMM)
Crowley, Philip J. (PRESS)
Cruise, Daniel L. (PRESS)
Malinowski, Tomasz P. (SPCHW)
Matthews, Sonyia (SPCHW)
Pisar, Leah F. (NSCCOMM)
Stockwell, David B. (PRESS)
Wozniak, Natalie S. (PRESS)

TEXT_BODY Ken: SRB noted the item below on Iraq and wrote the following to you:

"Ken: Please run nexus of stories over last 5-10 years that sanctions are collapsing. Extract best quotes."

--js

-----Original

Message-----

From: Crowley, Philip J. (PRESS)

Sent: Friday, September

22, 2000 4:51 PM

COPY

To: Crowley, Philip J. (PRESS); @APNSA
Cc: @SENIORS
- Senior Directors; @PRESS - Public Affairs
Subject: Media Update
[UNCLASSIFIED]

1448

FOR SRB/DLK/MR

For worse, definitely not for better, the primary WH media focus was on oil, sleepovers and the fact that the Republicans are leading 3-1 in renaming buildings after Presidents. There is some stuff out there to worry about and work to improve.

1. FRY Elections. Talked with Jane Perlez of the NYT, who has written a story on our support for democratic institutions in the FRY that didn't get in the paper this morning. She has worked extensively with Jim O'Brien on it. We will remain in touch with her over the weekend. A reflection of our full court press, she says she has never received so much "help" on a story. Now we have to make sure it doesn't backfire. John Lancaster will contribute to the Post's coverage here in DC. I will stay in touch with him.

2. Wen Ho Li. The Post is doing a story for Sunday on the Wen Ho Li prosecution. It is focused on the prosecution, whether WHL was overcharged and how it proceeded. I went back to Walter to doublecheck that he had what he needed regarding the December meeting in the SITROOM. He indicated he had convinced his editors that this was what we said it was and not how the judge characterized it. Walter's view is that Justice had already made the decision to prosecute, but they wanted to discuss national security implications of the information that would come up at trial. According to what he has been told, the Agency indicated that there would be serious implications if compromised and the AG made clear that she was prepared to stop the case if this became too difficult to protect.

3. Iraq. Warren Stroebe of USNews was recently in Iraq for two weeks and filed a feature piece in the magazine. He will write for Sunday's Outlook section that, notwithstanding our success in keeping him contained, that Saddam continues to survive, and that plenty of other governments are chipping away at the sanctions regime. He understands that sanctions will remain in place, but that the risk is that it will ultimately be totally undermined.

4. Iran. Elaine Sciolino of the NYT is focused on the case of the Iranian Jews and what we are doing to help win their release. She understands that we were hoping for more, and had been led to believe by Kharrazi that we would get more.

COPY

Ken Pollack talked with her and made clear that this is very important to us, that we are talking to every government that is in a position to influence Iran, that the President has raised this with his counterparts, but that we don't crow about it because it plays into the hands of the hardliners. Ken is confident that she got the message, but Elaine will do what she will do.

5. VP. Jim Hoagland, according to the VP's Office, will take a swipe at the VP for ignoring how corrupt Chernov was, a charge that has been made before. Longer term, Steve Mufson is doing a story on the VP's foreign policy. Steve Myers is doing a piece that compares the Bush and Gore defense proposals. The latter items are being worked by the respective campaigns.

PJ

1448

COPY

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Tomasz P. Malinowski to Kenneth M. Pollack at 12:54:46. Subject: FW: Media Update. (3 pages)	09/25/2000	P5 1449
002. email	Mary P. Tighe to Holly M. Smith at 8:31:49 AM. Subject: Gore Family holiday cards [partial] (2 pages)	10/18/2000	P6/b(6)
003. email	Wendy E. Gray to Brooks L. Bash at 5:09:14. Subject: Names from Maria Echaveste for the Mexican Delegation. (1 page)	11/02/2000	P2, P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
Exchange-Non-Record (Mar 97-Jan 01) [[Gore and Campaign]]
OA/Box Number: 630000

FOLDER TITLE:

[09/25/2000 - 11/02/2000]

Adam Bergfeld
2006-0226-F
ab636

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information or records, the disclosure of which could be prejudicial to national defense [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

COPY

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 09/25/2000 12:54:46 PM
FROM Malinowski, Tomasz P. (SPCHW)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT FW: Media Update [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Pollack, Kenneth M. (NESA)

CARBON_COPY

TEXT_BODY

Ken: send me what you find, too.

-----Original Message-----

From: Siberell,
Justin H. (NSA)
Sent: Monday, September 25, 2000 12:52 PM
To: Pollack,
Kenneth M. (NESA); @NESASIA - NE/South Asia
Cc: @PRESS - Public
Affairs; @NSCComm
Subject: FW: Media Update [UNCLASSIFIED]

Ken:

SRB noted the item below on Iraq and wrote the following to you:

"Ken:

Please run nexus of stories over last 5-10 years that sanctions
are collapsing. Extract best quotes."

--js

-----Original Message-----

From: Crowley,
Philip J. (PRESS)
Sent: Friday, September 22, 2000 4:51 PM
To: Crowley,
Philip J. (PRESS); @APNSA
Cc: @SENIORS - Senior Directors; @PRESS
- Public Affairs
Subject: Media Update [UNCLASSIFIED]

FOR SRB/DLK/MR

For

worse, definitely not for better, the primary WH media focus was

COPY

1449

on oil, sleepovers and the fact that the Republicans are leading 3-1 in renaming buildings after Presidents. There is some stuff out there to worry about and work to improve.

1449

1. FRY Elections.

Talked with Jane Perlez of the NYT, who has written a story on our support for democratic institutions in the FRY that didn't get in the paper this morning. She has worked extensively with Jim O'Brien on it. We will remain in touch with her over the weekend. A reflection of our full court press, she says she has never received so much "help" on a story. Now we have to make sure it doesn't backfire. John Lancaster will contribute to the Post's coverage here in DC. I will stay in touch with him.

2. Wen Ho Li. The Post is doing

a story for Sunday on the Wen Ho Li prosecution. It is focused on the prosecution, whether WHL was overcharged and how it proceeded. I went back to Walter to doublecheck that he had what he needed regarding the December meeting in the SITROOM. He indicated he had convinced his editors that this was what we said it was and not how the judge characterized it. Walter's view is that Justice had already made the decision to prosecute, but they wanted to discuss national security implications of the information that would come up at trial. According to what he has been told, the Agency indicated that there would be serious implications if compromised and the AG made clear that she was prepared to stop the case if this became too difficult to protect.

3. Iraq. Warren Stroebe of USNews was recently

in Iraq for two weeks and filed a feature piece in the magazine. He will write for Sunday's Outlook section that, notwithstanding our success in keeping him contained, that Saddam continues to survive, and that plenty of other governments are chipping away at the sanctions regime. He understands that sanctions will remain in place, but that the risk is that it will ultimately be totally undermined.

4.

Iran. Elaine Sciolino of the NYT is focused on the case of the Iranian Jews and what we are doing to help win their release. She understands that we were hoping for more, and had been led to believe by Kharrazi that we would get more. Ken Pollack talked with her and made clear that this is very important to us, that we are talking to every government that is in a position to influence Iran, that the President has raised this with his counterparts, but that we don't crow about it because it plays into the hands of the hardliners. Ken is confident that she got the message, but Elaine will do what she will do.

5. VP. Jim Hoagland, according to the VP's Office, will take a swipe at the VP for ignoring how corrupt Chernobyl was,

COPY

a charge that has been made before. Longer term, Steve Mufson is doing a story on the VP's foreign policy. Steve Myers is doing a piece that compares the Bush and Gore defense proposals. The latter items are being worked by the respective campaigns.

PJ

1449

COPY

http://classified/email/data_source/exchange/non-record/cd047/sen7000/39f45f25.html
